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Does the Buddhist, Hindu or Muslim have to learn to think like a Westerner about guilt before he or she can appreciate the good news that Jesus’ blood offers a better answer to their problem of sin and shame?

PURITY PRACTICES AND RITUAL CLEANSING IN MAJOR RELIGIONS

The vast majority of unreached and unengaged peoples embrace Buddhism, Hinduism, or Islam. And despite their many significant differences, these religions share at least one thing in common—religious purification rituals.

Buddhism: “Purity (suddha) [aims] to purify the personality … so that all moral and character defilements and defects … such as anger, ignorance and lust are wiped away and Nirvana can be obtained.”

Hinduism: “Religious acts presuppose some degree of impurity or defilement for the practitioner, which must be overcome … before or during ritual procedures. Purification, usually with water, is thus a typical feature of most religious action.”

Islam: The Quran says, “For Allah loves those who turn to Him constantly and He loves those who keep themselves pure and clean” (2:222). “Before offering prayers, it is necessary to perform [ritual purification]. … If the body or clothes show traces of blood, pus, urine, feces, semen or alcohol, then [purification] becomes essential. … The Quran says: “None shall touch it but those who are clean (56:79).”

THE HEBREWS ALSO HAD PURITY RITUALS—PART OF THE “CYCLE OF SANCTIFICATION”

For the Hebrews, everything in life was perceived in relation to God’s holiness. This led to the purity concepts of clean and unclean.

On the basis of Levitical law, everything in life was either holy or common for the Hebrews. Those things determined common were subdivided into categories of clean and unclean. … Clean things might become holy through sanctification or unclean through pollution. Holy things could be profaned and become common or even unclean. Unclean things could be cleansed and then consecrated or sanctified to be made holy.
MOVING TOWARD HONOR OR SHAME?

Jewish behaviors in the cycle of sanctification were of two types, as shown in Figure 1 below—moving toward honor and the holy, or moving toward shame and the unclean.

1) Actions moving a person toward holiness, toward God (and honor):

- **Cleanse**: People who were unclean needed to go through ritual cleansing in order to become clean. There were rules for many kinds of uncleanness: bodily and sexual discharges including menstruation (Lev 15; 18:19), touching someone with disease (Lev 13), touching a corpse (Lev 22:4–6), eating unclean food (Lev 11), and various diseases of which the worst was leprosy (Lev 13).

- **Sanctify**: If a person was clean, he or she could sanctify him or herself to become holy (or separate), in order to enter the presence of God. This was necessary for the levitical priests in order for them to serve in the temple.

2) Actions moving a person away from holiness, away from God (toward uncleanness/shame):

- **Profane**: A priest who was holy could become profaned by association with anything common (Lev 21). In turn, he would have to be sanctified—a ritual done in cooperation with God—in order to regain his “holiness” and the ability to enter the presence of a holy God.

- **Pollute**: A person who was clean could be polluted and thereby become unclean by the same variety of things listed above in regard to cleansing: sexual activity, menstruation, touching a corpse, eating unclean food, or having a disease. The unclean person would then have to follow the appropriate laws of cleansing to once again regain his or her position of cleanness.

---

**Figure 1**

---

JESUS CLEANSES THE LEPER—A MAN PROFOUNDLY UNCLEAN

Let’s consider a passage of Scripture linking Old and New Testament concepts about purity.

> While he was in one of the cities, there came a man full of leprosy. And when he saw Jesus, he fell on his face and begged him, “Lord, if you will, you can make me clean.” And Jesus stretched out his hand and touched him, saying, “I will; be clean.” And immediately the leprosy left him. And he charged him to tell no one, but “go and show yourself to the priest, and make an offering for your cleansing, as Moses commanded, for a proof to them.”
> (Luke 5:12–14, cf Mark 1:40–44)

How can we grasp the depth of uncleanness and shame of the “man full of leprosy”? Especially noteworthy is this passage from Leviticus:

> The leprous person who has the disease shall wear torn clothes and let the hair of his head hang loose, and he shall cover his upper lip and cry out, ‘Unclean, unclean.’ He shall remain unclean as long as he has the disease. He is unclean. He shall live alone. His dwelling shall be outside the camp.
> (Lev 13:45–46)

We make note of three things:

- The leprous person was to make himself unattractive: He “shall wear torn clothes and let the hair of his head hang loose.” Leprous persons were not allowed to dress up in attempt to cover up their disease.

- The leprous person was to announce his uncleanness: “he shall … cry out, ‘Unclean, unclean.’” He was to proclaim his uncleanness and shame publicly.

- The leprous person was to be isolated and segregated: “He shall live alone. His dwelling shall be outside the camp.” The health of the larger community could not be compromised by the disease of the individual. The leper had to be quarantined.

Since the Hebrews had their identity rooted in their community, the shame and degree of exclusion for the man full of leprosy was extreme. Of course, there were good reasons for isolating people with contagious disease. God was providing boundaries for their survival.

UNCLEANNESS AND SHAME

What may we observe about Jesus and the “man full of leprosy”? First, the man full of leprosy had little hope of ever again becoming clean. He was not able to regain
his honor or “place” in the community. His was a life of isolation, embodying shame.

Second, Jesus “stretched out his hand and touched” the man full of leprosy and said, “Be clean.” Two miracles happened. One, the leper was cleansed. Two, apparently Jesus did not himself become unclean! His personal purity was untainted. This was unthinkable to the Jewish mind.

Third, we observe that Jesus was concerned for the man’s reintegration into his own community: “Go and show yourself to the priest, and make an offering for your cleansing, as Moses commanded, for a proof to them.” Jesus wanted the leper to have his honor restored among his own family and friends following the process defined in Leviticus 14. Here are two main points concerning purity codes and honor/shame:

- In the social world of God’s people, the Jews, in both Old and New Testaments, the laws and practices about purity and uncleanness corresponded to honor and shame.
- When Jesus touched and healed him, he violated the traditional purity codes by transcending them. Jesus introduced a new set of variables for determining what is common or holy, unclean or clean, outside the group or inside the group, shameful or honorable.

**PURITY LANGUAGE IN THE GOSPEL MESSAGE**

The apostle John used purity language to describe how Christians can enter into fellowship with one another and with God. It is the blood of Jesus which cleanses God’s people from their sins:

“But if we walk in the light, as he is in the light, we have fellowship with one another, and the blood of Jesus his Son cleanses us from all sin. … If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness” (1 John 1:7, 9).

Some of the New Testament’s most extensive material connecting purity with the saving work of Christ and the life of believers is contained in Hebrews. For example:

- Reflecting the sacrificial system of the Old Testament, the atonement of Christ is summarized as “making purification for sins” (1:3).
- The sacrifice of “the blood of goats and bulls” is contrasted with the far superior sacrifice of Jesus Christ as high priest—who offered his own blood to “purify our conscience from dead works to serve the living God” (9:13–14).
- Believers are admonished to “draw near with a true heart in full assurance of faith, with our hearts sprinkled clean from an evil conscience and our bodies washed with pure water” (10:22).

**A GOSPEL OF PURITY FOR MAJORITY WORLD PEOPLES**

The cultural dynamics of purity and honor/shame are held in common by the Bible’s cultures and many Majority World peoples, and this represents a significant opportunity for contextualizing the gospel. The man who was full of leprosy pleaded, “Lord, if you will, you can make me clean.” Using similar language, believers can present to people in the Majority World the good news that Jesus Christ came to cleanse them of their sin and shame. When introducing the gospel, believers can ask, for example, “Can I tell you a story about God coming to earth to cover your sin and shame, and to make you clean forever?”

---

5. Diagram adapted from *A Survey of the Old Testament*, “Figure 6.1. The Cycle of Sanctification,” p. 133.
6. Ezekiel 16:1–62. This chapter describes “The Lord’s Faithless Bride” and vividly illustrates how great uncleanness corresponded to deep shame in ancient Jewish culture.